

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/441,832	11/17/1999	CLEMENT LAWSON	2870/192	5091
75	90 07/11/2002			•
KAREN A. LOWNEY, ESQ ESTEE LAUDER COMPANIES 125 PINELAWN ROAD			EXAMINER	
			BERMAN, ALYSIA	
MELVILLE, N	Y 11747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617 DATE MAILED: 07/11/2002	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/441,832	LAWSON ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	 		
	Alysia Berman	1617			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondenc add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper repich places the application.	oly to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The darknev been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or s	simplifying the		
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelNOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NC	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>WYL</u>					
Claim(s) objected to: work					
Claim(s) rejected: 137.49 , $21,23$, 25 , 26 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 449 , 20 ,					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: المراجعة المراجعة والمراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة	, 224 24				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exam	niner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	· \			
10.		RUSSELL TRAVERS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200			

Ulywar

'n

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph and 103(a) for reasons of record in the Final Office Action mailed April 1, 2002, paper no. 14. All of Applicants arguments were previously presented and addressed in the Final Office Action mailed August 21, 2001, paper no. 7, the Advisory Action mailed December 18, 2001, paper no. 9 and paper no. 14. Applicant's arguments with respect to US;499 and US '526 were addressed in the Response to Arguments in paper no. 7.